

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,376	03/24/2006	Bernhard Fischereder	038777/309540	2728	
826 ALSTON & B	7590 05/14/200 JRD LLP	EXAMINER			
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			LIVEDALEN, BRIAN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2878		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,376	FISCHEREDER, BERNHARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN	2878		

	DIAMETO, LIVEDIALLIA	2070				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apr for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(t) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi tr than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extr Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed to	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further or</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell)</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	etter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOI -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. \( \subseteq  for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 12-15 and 22-26.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6.10.11 and 16-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:						
	/Thanh X Luu/					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2878

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. Applicant states that Killian fails to disclose "lock[ing] the beam emitter and/or beam reciever in the park position" because Killian allows displacement in the direction away from the working plane. However, Applicant's specification (see fig. 5) shows the locking element (79) slidably engaged with switching surface (90). Accordingly, Examiner interprets the claims in light of the specification. Therefore, the term "locks" is interpreted to mean inhibiting movement in at least one direction. For this reason, his Advisory Acro is proper.